AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Large Entity) Applicant(s): KOON H. TEO, ET AL					Docket No. 13657 /slb	
Application No. 09/842,128	Filing Date April 26, 2001	Sharad K. Rampuria 000293			2683	Confirmation No. 6543
Invention: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR OFDM DATA COMMUNICATIONS						
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application. The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.						
CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	CLAIMS REMAINING	HIGHEST#		R EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
	AFTER AMENDMENT	PREV. PAID FOR	CLAIMS	PRESENT 0	× \$50.00	\$0.00
TOTAL CLAIMS	43 -	47 =	ļ		× \$200.00	\$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	4 -	7 =	<u> </u>			\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable) TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT \$0.00						
☐ A check i ☐ The Direct communi ☐ Any ☐ Payment	patent application propagation application propagation provides the provides a propagation propagation provides the provides a propagation provides the provides a propagation provides the provides a provide provides the provides a provides a provide provides the provides a provides a provide provides a pr	to cover the filing to cover the filing to charge payment o erpayment to Deposit A equired under 37 C.F.R. cessing fees under 37 C.	g fee is of the following the	owing fees a 19-2550 7. Credit card in authorization ed: June 9, reby certify the United States s mall in an env. Box 1450, Alex (Date)	nformation shown on PTO-2038 2005 It this corresponder Postal Service with relope addressed to candria, VA 22313-1	uld not be 3. nce is being deposited with sufficient postage as firs "Commissioner for Patents 450" (37 CFR 1.8(a)) on
Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence						



Jun-09-05

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

09/842,128

Confirmation No. 6543

Applicant

: KOON H. TEO, ET AL.

Filed

: April 26, 2001

TC/A.U.

: 2683

Examiner

Sharad K. Rampuria

Docket No.

: 13657

Customer No.

: 000293

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 U.S.A.

Dear Sir:

1

In response to the Office Action dated March 10, 2005, Applicant submits the following remarks.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In paragraph 2 of the Detailed Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1 to 6, 9 to 14, 19 to 23, 25 to 29, 32 to 34, 36 to 39, 41 to 42, and 46 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,131,016 (Greenstein *et al.*) in view of United States Patent No. 6,370,369 (Kraiem *et al.*).

Given below is a brief description of the Greenstein et al. and Kraiem et al. references, together with a detailed discussion as to why claims 1 to 6, 9 to 14, 19 to 23, 25 to 29, 32 to 34, 36 to 39, 41 to 42, and 46 are patentable over the Greenstein et al. and Kraiem et al. references.

Greenstein et al.

The patent by Greenstein does not make use of directional antennas; it does not differentiate between signalling and traffic information on the antennas but rather teaches a